## [4 + 1] Cycloadditions of the Rhodium Di(methoxycarbonyl) Carbenoid to 2-Siloxy-1,3-dienes

Jürgen Schnaubelt<sup>[1]</sup>, Elke Marks, and Hans-Ulrich Reißig\*

Institut für Organische Chemie der Technischen Universität Dresden,

Mommsenstraße 13, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

Telefax: (internat.) +49(0)351/463-7030 E-mail: reissig@coch01.chm.tu-dresden.de

Received August 7, 1995

**Key Words:** 2-Siloxy-1,3-dienes / Dimethyl diazomalonate / Rhodium(II) acetate / [4 + 1] Cycloadditions / Cyclopentene derivatives

The rhodium(II) acetate catalyzed reaction of dimethyl diazomalonate with 2-siloxy-1,3-dienes 1a-1d and 1h furnished cyclopentene derivatives 4a-4d and 4h in moderate yields.

The mechanism of this unusual [4+1] cycloaddition is discussed.

For our ongoing studies of intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions<sup>[1,2]</sup> we required 2-siloxycyclopropanedicarboxylates of type 3 which incorporate a masked enone moiety. The metal-catalyzed cyclopropanation of 2-siloxy-1,3-dienes with dimethyl diazomalonate (2) was considered as the most suitable route to these target compounds<sup>[3]</sup>. However, in this paper we report that this reaction directly leads to the five-membered ring isomers of 3, the cyclopentene derivatives 4.

tBuMc<sub>2</sub>SiO

R<sup>1</sup>

1a-g

Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>

+

CO<sub>2</sub>Me

N<sub>2</sub>

$$CO_2Me$$
 $CO_2Me$ 
 $CO_2Me$ 

	R¹	R <sup>2</sup>	Yield
а	Н	Ме	35%
b	-CH <sub>2</sub> -(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> -		35%
c	Н	Ph	43%
d	Н	OMe	31% <sup>[a]</sup>
e	Н	Н	-
f	Me	Н	-
g	Н	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	-

<sup>[</sup>a] Reaction at room temperature.

When a slight excess of dimethyl diazomalonate (2) was slowly added to a solution of 2-tert-butyldimethylsiloxy-(E)-1,3-pen-

tadiene (1a) and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in 1,2-dichloroethane via a syringe pump at 50°C, crude cyclopentene derivative 4a was isolated after usual workup. There was no indication that vinyleyclopropane derivative 3a had been formed as this should show significantly different NMR data. After kugelrohr distillation analytically pure 4a was obtained in 35% yield. We tried to increase this rather moderate yield by employing rhodium(II) trifluoroacetate<sup>[4]</sup> as catalyst in *n*-hexane as solvent, the use of which was expected to furnish a more electron-deficient and hopefully more reactive rhodium carbenoid from 2. However, the yield of 4a dropped to 16%. With  $Cu(acac)_2$  or  $Cu(OTf)_2$  as catalysts for this reaction we obtained no definitive products.

The standard conditions with Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> as catalyst were therefore applied to 2-siloxy-1,3-dienes 1b, 1c, and 1d with similar success furnishing siloxycyclopentene derivatives 4b, 4c, and 4d in 31–43% yield. Surprisingly, the reaction of the electronically rather related 1,3-dienes 1e and 1f with 2 did not provide the expected products. Only intractable complex mixtures were isolated. The reaction with methoxycarbonyl-substituted diene 1g also failed to afford 4g. Here the crude product may have contained traces of the vinylcyclopropane derivative 3g. However, we were not able to isolate or purify this minor component in the mixture. Finally, we also attempted to synthesize norbornene derivative 4h by starting with 2-siloxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (1h). Again, only a complex product mixture was obtained.

Although the scope of the reaction seems to be rather limited and the yields are so far at best moderate (though not optimized), two aspects of this formal [4+1] cycloaddition are remarkable. First, this method allows a direct and relatively simple entry to functionalized cyclopentene derivatives<sup>[5]</sup>. Bicyclic compounds such as **4b** may be of particular importance. Second, the infrequency of [4+1] cycloadditions with carbene/carbenoid participation<sup>[6]</sup>

raises the question about the reaction mechanism. To the best of our knowledge only two related examples have recently been described in the literature<sup>[7]</sup>. The reaction of diene 5 with diazo compounds of type 6 furnishes cyclopentenones 7 after treatment with silica gel or acid.

OMe
OSiMe<sub>3</sub> +
$$\begin{array}{c}
X \\
1) \text{ Rh}_2(\text{OPiv})_4 \\
CO_2\text{Me}
\end{array}$$
OSiMe<sub>3</sub> +
$$\begin{array}{c}
1) \text{ Rh}_2(\text{OPiv})_4 \\
2) \text{ silica gel}
\end{array}$$
MeO
$$\begin{array}{c}
X \\
CO_2\text{Me}
\end{array}$$

The formation of cyclopentene derivatives **4** (and of 7) can proceed via the expected vinylcyclopropanes. The formally forbidden 1,3-sigmatropic rearrangement may involve 1,3-zwitterions<sup>[8]</sup> such as **8**, which are reasonably stabilized, and it may be catalyzed by the rhodium complex present<sup>[9]</sup>. However, this mechanistic pathway does not explain why no definite compounds could be isolated in the case of the dienes **1e**, **1f**, and **1h**. The reactions of all these dienes with methyl diazoacetate gave well defined cyclopropane derivatives<sup>[10]</sup>.

$$\mathsf{tBuMe_2SiO} \qquad \mathsf{tBuMe_2SiO} \qquad \mathsf{CO_2Me} \qquad \mathsf{tBuMe_2SiO} \qquad \mathsf{CO_2Me} \qquad \mathsf{RhL_n} \qquad \mathsf{R$$

Hence, a mechanistic alternative has to be considered. The dienes may react in a Diels-Alder-type reaction with the rhodium carbenoid to give (either in a concerted or stepwise manner) a rhodacyclohexene intermediate 9 which undergoes reductive elimination and provides the cyclopentene derivatives<sup>[11]</sup>. The [4 + 2] step fails with 1e-1g because these dienes are not sufficiently electron-rich, and it does not occur with 1h since the primary adduct would be rather strained. These mechanistic speculations certainly require further experimental support. However, they may serve as working hypothesis for future efforts in this area.

This work was generously supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Volkswagen-Stiftung.

## **Experimental**

All reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere in a flame-dried reaction flask, and the components were added via a syringe. All solvents were dried by standard methods. — Chromatography: silica gel 60 (0.063–0.200 mm, E. Merck) and neutral alumina (6%  $H_2O$ , activity III, Macherey-Nagel). — A Büchi kugelrohr apparatus was used for distillation of small amounts of substances. —  $^1H$  ( $^{13}C$ )-NMR spectra: Bruker AC 200, 200 (50.3) MHz, internal standards chloroform ( $\delta$  = 7.26 and 77.0) or tetramethylsilane ( $\delta$  = 0.00). — IR spectra: Nicolet 205.

Starting materials: **1a**<sup>[12]</sup>, **1b**<sup>[13]</sup>, **1c**<sup>[14]</sup>, **1d**<sup>[15]</sup>, **2**<sup>[16]</sup>. Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> was purchased from Aldrich. 1,2-Dichloroethane was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub>.

General Procedure for Reactions of 2-Siloxy-1,3-dienes 1 with Dimethyl Diazomalonate (2): Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (5 mol-%) was dissolved under dry argon in 2 ml of dry 1,2-dichloroethane and 1 equivalent of diene 1. At 50°C a solution of 1.5–2.0 equivalents of 2 (in 2 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane) was added via a syringe pump within 10 h. The mixture was stirred for further 12 h at room temp., then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in pen-

tane and the solution filtered through a short pad of alumina (neutral, activity III). The filtrate was concentrated and the crude product was further purified by kugelrohr distillation or chromatography.

Dimethyl 4-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-2-methyl-3-cyclopentene-1,1-dicarboxylate (4a): According to the general procedure the reaction of 0.500 g (2.52 mmol) of 1a with 0.597 g (3.78 mmol) of 2 in the presence of 0.055 g (0.125 mmol) of Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> as the catalyst provided after kugelrohr distillation (60-90°C/0.07 Torr) 0.286 g (35%) of **4a** as a colourless oil. - <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.49$  (dd,  $J = 2, 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, 3 \text{-H}, 3.71, 3.70 (2 s, 3 \text{ H each}, 2 \text{ CO}_2\text{Me}), 3.50$  $(m_c, 1H, 2-H), 3.23$  (td, J = 2, 17 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 2.57 (broad d, J = 17 Hz, 1 H, 5 -H, 0.92 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3 H, 2 -Me), 0.89 (s, 9 H,tert-Bu), 0.13, 0.12 (2 s, 3H each OSiMe<sub>2</sub>). - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 172.4, 170.6, 52.6, 52.1$  (2 s, 2 q, 2 CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 150.7 (s, C-4), 106.5 (d, C-3), 61.3 (s, C-1), 41.3 (d, C-2), 40.1 (t, C-5), 25.6, 18.0 (q, s, tert-Bu), 16.9 (q, 2-Me), -4.7, -4.8 (2 q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>). - IR (film):  $\tilde{v} = 3050 \text{ cm}^{-1} (=\text{C-H}), 2960-2860 (\text{C-H}), 1740$  $(CO_2Me)$ , 1655 (C=C), 1260 (Si-C). –  $C_{16}H_{28}O_5Si$  (328.5): calcd. C 58.50, H 8.59; found C 58.76, H 8.78.

3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-2,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-Dimethyl 1H-indene-1,1-dicarboxylate (4b): According to the general procedure 0.500 g (2.10 mmol) of 1b, 0.664 g (4.20 mmol) of 2 and 0.046 g (0.104 mmol) of Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> were allowed to react to provide a crude product which was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate, 10:1) affording 0.268 g (35%) of 4b as a colourless oil. – <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.73$ , 3.70 (2 s, 3H each, 2 CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.32-3.12 (m, 2H, 7a-H, 2-H), 2.56 (m<sub>c</sub>, 2H, 2-H, 4-H), 1.88–1.57, 1.30–1.18 (2 m, 5 H, 2 H, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H), 0.93 (s, 9 H, tert-Bu), 0.14, 0.09 (2 s, 3 H each,  $OSiMe_2$ ). - <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl_3)$ :  $\delta = 172.7, 170.9, 52.6, 52.0 (2 s, 2 q, 2 CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 139.7 (s,$ C-3), 116.4 (s, C-3a), 59.3 (s, C-1), 47.5 (d, C-7a), 41.0 (t, C-2), 30.0, 25.9, 25.63, 24.1 (4 t, C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7), 25.62, 18.0 (q, s, tert-Bu), -4.1, -4.4 (2 q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>). - IR (film):  $\hat{v} = 2950-2850$  $cm^{-1}$  (C-H), 1735 (CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 1650 (C=C), 1260 (Si-C). -C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si (368.5): calcd. C 61.92, H 8.75; found C 62.03, H 8.90.

Dimethyl 4-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-2-phenyl-3-cyclopentene-1,1-dicarboxylate (4c): According to the general procedure 0.500 g (1.92 mmol) of 1c, 0.460 g (2.93 mmol) of 2 and 0.046 g (0.104 mmol) of Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> were allowed to react to provide a crude product which was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate, 15:1) furnishing 0.319 g (43%) of 4c as a colourless oil. -<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 7.23$  (m<sub>c</sub>, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.80, 4.64 (2 m<sub>c</sub>, 1H) each, 2-H, 3-H), 3.76, 3.06 (2 s, 3H each, 2  $CO_2Me$ ), 3.43 (td, J =2, 17 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 2.64 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 0.95 (s, 9H, tert-Bu), 0.23, 0.20 (2 s, 3H each,  $OSiMe_2$ ). – <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 172.3$ , 169.6, 53.0, 52.9, 51.6 (2 s, 2 q, 1 d, 2 CO<sub>2</sub>Me, C-2), 152.6 (s, C-4), 140.6, 128.9, 127.8, 127.1 (s, 3 d, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 104.2 (d, C-3), 62.9 (s, C-1), 40.8 (t, C-5), 25.6, 18.1 (q, s, tert-Bu), -4.62, -4.64 (2 q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>). -1R (film):  $\tilde{v} = 3100 - 3050$  cm<sup>-1</sup> (=C-H), 2955-2860 (C-H), 1740 (CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 1660, 1500 (C=C), 1235 (Si-C). - C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si (390.5): calcd. C 64.58, H 7.48; found C 63.99, H 7.60.

Dimethyl 4-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-2-methoxy-3-cyclopentene-1,1-dicarboxylate (4d): According to the general procedure (the reaction was performed at room temp.) 0.500 g (2.33 mmol) of 1d, 0.550 g (3.50 mmol) of 2 and 0.051 g (0.117 mmol) of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  were allowed to react to deliver a crude product which was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate, 10:1) affording 0.250 g (31%) of 4d as a colourless oil. — <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.76 (t, J = 2 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.57 (broad dd, J = 2, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.66, 3.65, 3.12 (3 s, 3 H each, 2  $CO_2Me$ , OMe), 3.18 (td, J =

2, 17 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 2.30 (dd, J = 1, 17 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 0.82 (s, 9 H, tert-Bu), 0.12, 0.11 (2 s, 3H each,  $OSiMe_2$ ). - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 171.4, 168.9, 52.6, 52.3$  (2 s, 2 q, 2 CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 157.0 (s, C-4), 100.9 (d, C-3), 86.2 (d, C-2), 63.8 (s, C-1), 57.2 (q, OMe), 39.9 (t, C-5), 25.4, 18.0 (q, s, tert-Bu) -4.78, -4.83 (2 q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>). - IR (film):  $\tilde{v} = 3050 \text{ cm}^{-1} (=C-H), 2955-2850 (C-H), 1740$  $(CO_2Me)$ , 1650 (C=C), 1250 (Si-C). -  $C_{16}H_{28}O_6Si$  (344.5): calcd. C 55.78, H 8.19; found C 55.85, H 8.16.

- Sugita, K. Mizuno, T. Mori, K. Isagawa, Y. Otsuji, Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 1000-1002; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1991**, 30, 984
- H. M. L. Davies, T. J. Clark, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 9883-9892.
- M. Buchert, H.-U. Reißig, manuscript in preparation.
- Catalysis of related rearrangements with Rh(I) or Rh(III) complexes are known: T. Hudlicky, T. M. Kutchan, S. M. Naqvi, Org. React. 1985, 33, 247-335. Acyclic products can also be formed: R. G. Salomon, M. F. Salomon, J. L. C. Kachinsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1043-1054. - M. P. Doyle, D. Van Leusen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5917-5919.
- [10] See ref. 1 and B. Hofmann, H.-U. Reißig, Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 2315-2325.
- [11] Cyclopropanation reactions with carbenoids probably involve metallacyclobutanes as intermediates, therefore, metallacyclohexenes are plausible species if cyclopentenes are formed. For reactions proceeding via similar intermediates see: H. M. L. Davies, B. Hu, E. Saikali, P. R. Brazinski, *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 4535–4541. – B. M. Trost, A. S. K. Hashmi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2183–2184. – M. Hoffmann, Dissertation, Technische Universität Dresden, 1994.
- [12] B. Hofmann, Dissertation, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, 1993.
- [13] K. Ohkata, Y. G. Lee, Y. Utsumi, K. Ishimaru, K. Akiba, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5052-5059
- [14] P. Cazeau, F. Duboudin, F. Moulines, O. Babot, J. Dunogues, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 2089-2100.
- [15] E. Vedejs, T. H. Eberlein, D. J. Mazur, C. K. McClure, D. A. Perry, R. Ruggeri, E. Schwartz, J. S. Stults, D. L. Varie, R. G. Wilde, S. Wittenberger, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1556–1562. DMPU was used as complexating agent instead of HMPA.

  [16] B. W. Peace, F. Carman, D. S. Wulfman, Synthesis 1971,
- 658 661.

<sup>[1]</sup> J. Schnaubelt, Dissertation, Technische Universität Dresden,

J. Schnaubelt, H.-U. Reißig, Synlett 1995, 452–454. – J. Schnaubelt, H.-U. Reißig, manuscript in preparation.

For examples see: G. Maas, Top. Curr. Chem. 1987, 137, 75-253.

<sup>[4]</sup> A. J. Anciaux, A. J. Hubert, A. F. Noels, N. Petiniot, P. Teyssié, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 695-702. - M. P. Doyle, V. Bagheri, T. J. Wandless, N. K. Harn, D. A. Brinker, C. T. Eagle, K.-L. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990, 112, 1906–1912. – Review: A. Padwa, D. J. Austin, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 1881-1889; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1797-1815.

For leading references to cyclopentene and cyclopentane syntheses see: M.-R. Fischer, A. Kirschning, T. Michel, E. Schaumann, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 220-221; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 217-218.

M. Regitz, Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben Weyl) 1989, Vol. E19b. – H. Mayr, U. W. Heigl, Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 567–568; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 579. – L. W. Jenneskens, W. H. de Wolf, F. Bickelhaupt, Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 568-569; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 585. - H.